

Exponential martingales and changes of measure for counting processes

Alexander Sokol & Niels Richard Hansen

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Copenhagen University
28 March, 2012

Agenda

- ① Modeling counting processes
- ② Exponential martingales and changes of measure
- ③ Examples
- ④ Open problems

Modeling counting processes

A classical method for modeling discrete events in continuous time is through counting processes.

A statistical model for a counting process with intensity consists of:

- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$.
- A nonexplosive point process N on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$.
- A parametrized family $(\mu_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ of intensities.
- A corresponding family of probability measures P_θ such that under P_θ , N is a nonexplosive counting process with intensity μ_θ .

Problem. Given a family $(\mu_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$, does there exist a statistical model corresponding to this family of candidate intensities? This is not a vacuous question, as many candidate intensities yield explosion.

Modeling counting processes

Solution approach on canonical spaces. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ be the space of nonexplosive point process trajectories endowed with the canonical σ -algebra and filtration, let $N : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be the identity and let P be such that N is a homogeneous Poisson process.

Jacobsen (2005) gives sufficient criteria on μ_θ to ensure that there exists a probability measure P_θ equivalent to P such that under P_θ , N has intensity μ_θ .

This yields the existence of nonexplosive point processes with intensity μ_θ and yields the existence of the statistical model.

Modeling counting processes

Benefits of the canonical setting:

- Precise expressions for the likelihood in terms of the waiting time distributions of the point process with intensity μ_θ .
- Coupling arguments may be used to analyze non-explosion.

Drawbacks of the canonical setting:

- Only intensities depending on N are covered.
- Arguments are often based on very technical manipulations of the canonical space and various conditional distributions, instead of for example modern martingale theory.

Alternative approach. Consider a general filtered space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ and formulate all issues in terms of martingales.

Modeling counting processes

A general problem statement. Assume given:

- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$.
- A positive, predictable and locally bounded intensity process λ .
- A point process N with intensity λ .
- A parametrized family $(\mu_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ of intensities.

We seek: Sufficient criteria on μ_θ to ensure the existence of a probability measure P_θ equivalent to P such that under P_θ , N has intensity μ_θ .

As corollaries, we obtain: Explicit expressions for the likelihood, criteria for existence of point processes with various intensities (corresponding to criteria for nonexplosion).

Exponential martingales and changes of measure

Definition. We say that a d -dimensional nonexplosive point process N has intensity λ if $N_t^i - \int_0^t \lambda_s^i ds$ is a local martingale, $i \leq d$.

From now on, assume given:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ satisfying the usual conditions.
- Positive, predictable and locally bounded d -dimensional λ, μ .
- A d -dimensional point process N with intensity λ .

For any semimartingale X with $\Delta X > -1$, we define

$$\mathcal{E}(X)_t = \exp \left(X_t - \frac{1}{2} [X^c]_t + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \log(1 + \Delta X_s) - \Delta X_s \right).$$

$\mathcal{E}(X)$ is the Doléans-Dade exponential of X . If X is a local martingale, so is $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

Exponential martingales and changes of measure

We define:

- $M_t^i = N_t^i - \int_0^t \lambda_s^i ds.$
- $\gamma_t^i = \mu_t^i (\lambda^i)^{-1}.$
- $H_t^i = \gamma_t^i - 1.$
- $H \cdot M = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t H_s^i dM_s^i.$

Lemma. Assume that $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale. Let $t \geq 0$. With Q_t being the measure with Radon-Nikodym derivative $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)_t$ with respect to P , N is a counting process under Q_t with intensity $1_{[0,t]} \mu + 1_{(t,\infty)} \lambda.$

Conclusion. In order to obtain the existence of the desired equivalent probability measures, we need criteria for the martingale property of $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M).$

Exponential martingales and changes of measure

Theorem. Assume that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $0 \leq u \leq t$ with $|t - u| \leq \varepsilon$, one of the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$E \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_u^t (\gamma_s^i \log \gamma_s^i - (\gamma_s^i - 1)) \lambda_s^i ds \right) < \infty \quad \text{or}$$

$$E \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_u^t \lambda_s^i ds \right) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad E \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_u^t \log_+ \gamma_s^i dN_s^i \right) < \infty,$$

where $\log_+ x = \max\{\log x, 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Exponential martingales and changes of measure

Corollary. Let $\lambda = 1$. Assume that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $0 \leq u \leq t$ with $|t - u| \leq \varepsilon$, one of the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$E \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_u^t \mu_s^i \log_+ \mu_s^i ds \right) < \infty \quad \text{or}$$

$$E \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_u^t \log_+ \mu_s^i dN_s^i \right) < \infty,$$

where $\log_+ x = \max\{\log x, 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Exponential martingales and changes of measure

Outline of proof:

- 1 Argue that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}((H \cdot M)^t - (H \cdot M)^u)$ is a martingale for $|t - u| \leq \varepsilon$.
- 2 Decompose μ into large and small parts and show a related decomposition for exponential martingales.
- 3 Apply two theorems of Lépingle & Mémin (1978) to the obtain the result.

Examples

Example 1. Let $\mu_t^i \leq \alpha + \beta \sum_{j=1}^d N_{t-}^j$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Example 1 shows that we may recover the classical affine criteria for non-explosion from the canonical case in the case of a general filtered space. This also extends the criterion from Gjessing et al. (2010) from an “ \mathcal{L}^p ”-criterion, $p > 1$, to an “ \mathcal{L}^p ”-criterion, $p \geq 1$.

Outline of proof: To use the first moment condition, use that $E \exp(\varepsilon X \log X)$ is finite when X is Poisson distributed and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $4\beta\varepsilon d < 1$. To use the second moment condition, use a Markov argument and that Poisson distributions have moments of all orders, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\beta\varepsilon d < 1$.

Examples

Example 2. Consider $A : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $B : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(d, d)$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}(d, d)$. Assume that $A(\eta, \cdot)$, $B(\eta, \cdot)$ and $\sigma(\eta, \cdot)$ are continuous and bounded for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Assume that σ is positive definite. Assume that for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, there is $\delta, c > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|A(\eta, t)\|_2 \leq c \|\eta\|_1^{1-\delta}$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\sigma(\eta, t)\|_2 \leq c \|\eta\|_1^{(1-\delta)/2}$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|B(\eta, t)\|_2 \leq c.$$

Examples

Example 2, contined. Let X be a solution to

$$dX_t = (A(N_t, Z_t) + B(N_t, Z_t)X_t) dt + \sigma(N_t, Z_t) dW_t,$$

where W is a d -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) Brownian motion and $Z_t^i = t - T_{N_t^i}^i$, where T_n^i is the n 'th event time of N^i . Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^d$ be Lipschitz. Assume that $\phi(x)^i \neq 0$ for $x_i \neq 0$. Put $\mu_t = \phi(X_t)$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Example 2 shows that we can use our results to construct counting processes where the intensity is driven by a SDE whose coefficients vary according to the history of the counting process.

Examples

Outline of proof: Note that conditionally on N , the intensity has the distribution of a Gaussian process. Apply bounds for $E \exp(c\|Z\|_2^{1+\varepsilon})$, with Z d -dimensionally Gaussian and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, to obtain a bound for the conditional expectation

$$E \left(\exp \left(t \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_s^i \log_+ \mu_s^i \right) \middle| N \right).$$

Use this to obtain a bound of the unconditional expectation varying continuously in s , $0 \leq s \leq t$. Apply Jensen's inequality and further estimates to obtain the result.

Examples

Example 3. Let $\phi_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ for $i \leq d$ and $h_{ij} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i, j \leq d$. Define

$$\mu_t^i = \phi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^{t-} h_{ij}(t-s) dN_s^j \right).$$

Assume that ϕ^i is Borel measurable, that $\phi_i(x) \leq |x|$ and that h_{ij} is bounded. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Example 3 is an example of a sufficient criterion for non-explosion for multidimensional Hawkes processes.

Open problems

Questions not yet answered:

- Can the requirement that μ be positive be dropped if we require only absolute continuity instead of equivalence between measures?
- Can Example 2 be extended to the case where $\delta = 0$?

References

Alexander Sokol and Niels Richard Hansen. Exponential martingales and changes of measure for counting processes. Preprint, 2012.

Martin Jacobsen. Point Process Theory and Applications: Marked Point and Piecewise Deterministic Processes. Birkhäuser, 2005.

Dominique Lépingle and Jean Mémin. Sur l'intérabilité uniforme des martingales exponentielles. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 42(3):175-203, 1978.

Håkon K. Gjessing, Kjetil Røysland, Edsel A. Pena, and Odd O. Aalen. Recurrent events and the exploding Cox model. Lifetime Data Anal., 16(4):525-546, 2010.