Exponential martingales: uniform integrability results and applications to point processes

Alexander Sokol

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen 26 September, 2012

Agenda

- Exponential martingales
- Novikov-type criteria: Optimal constants
- S Novikov-type criteria: Some elementary proofs
- 4 Applications to point processes (joint with N. R. Hansen)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions. Unless otherwise noted, all processes are adapted and have initial value zero. We recall some conventions and definitions.

- An FV process A is integrable if $E(V_A)_{\infty}$ is finite.
- A is locally integrable if A^{T_n} is integrable for some sequence of stopping times (T_n) increasing to infinity.
- Any locally integrable FV process A has a compensator Π_p^{*}A: A predictable and locally integrable FV process such that A Π_p^{*}A is a local martingale.

- For a local martingale *M*, the quadratic variation [*M*] is the unique increasing process such that $M^2 [M]$ is a local martingale.
- M is locally square integrable if and only if [M] is locally integrable, and in the affirmative, we let (M) be the compensator of [M].
- There exists a decomposition $M = M^c + M^d$, where M^c is continuous and M^d is purely discontinuous.

For a local martingale M, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is the unique càdlàg solution to the SDE $Z_t = 1 + \int_0^t Z_{s-} dM_s$, and is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(M)_t = \exp\left(M_t - \frac{1}{2}[M^c]_t\right) \prod_{0 < s \le t} (1 + \Delta M_s) e^{-\Delta M_s}$$

If $\Delta M > -1$, we also have

$$\mathcal{E}(M)_t = \exp\left(M_t - \frac{1}{2}[M^c]_t + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \log(1 + \Delta M_s) - \Delta M_s\right)$$

Some properties:

- $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is always a local martingale with initial value one.
- If $\Delta M \ge -1$, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a nonnegative supermartingale.
- If $\Delta M \ge -1$, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is almost surely convergent.
- If $\Delta M \ge -1$, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is an UI martingale if and only if $E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$.

Main problem. Finding sufficient criteria to ensure that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

Motivation:

- Likelihood inference for continuously observed stochastic processes.
- Explicit pricing measures in mathematical finance.
- Methods for existence of solutions to martingale problems / SDE's.
- The problem is challenging and interesting in itself.

The most classical sufficient criterion:

Theorem (Novikov, 1972). Let M be a continuous local martingale. If $E \exp(\frac{1}{2}[M]_{\infty})$ is finite, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale. Also, the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is optimal.

Results for local martingales with jumps:

Theorem (Lepingle & Mémin, 1978). Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > -1$. Put $A_t = \frac{1}{2}[M^c]_t + \sum_{0 \le s \le t} (1 + \Delta M_s) \log(1 + \Delta M_s) - \Delta M_s$. If A is locally integrable and $E \exp(\prod_p^* A_\infty)$ is finite, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

Theorem (Lepingle & Mémin, 1978). Let M be a local martingale with $\Delta M > -1$. Put $A_t = \frac{1}{2}[M^c]_t + \sum_{0 \le s \le t} \log(1 + \Delta M_s) - \Delta M_s/(1 + \Delta M_s)$. If $E \exp(A_\infty)$ is finite, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

A simple observation (Protter & Shimbo, 2008): As it holds that

$$(1+x)\log(1+x) - x \le x^2$$
 for $x > -1$,

the previous theorems imply that if $E \exp(\frac{1}{2} \langle M^c \rangle_{\infty} + \langle M^d \rangle_{\infty})$ is finite, $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

This is a Novikov-type criterion for $\mathcal{E}(M)$ to be a uniformly integrable martingale. Questions:

- Are the constants in front of $\langle M^c \rangle$ and $\langle M^d \rangle$ optimal?
- Why is there a 1 instead of a $\frac{1}{2}$ in front of $\langle M^d \rangle$?
- Can similar results be obtained with $[M^d]$ instead of $\langle M^d \rangle$?

For a > -1 with $a \neq 0$, define

$$\alpha(a) = \frac{(1+a)\log(1+a) - a}{a^2}$$
$$\beta(a) = \frac{(1+a)\log(1+a) - a}{(1+a)a^2}$$

Theorem 1. Let $a \ge -1$ and assume $\Delta M \mathbb{1}_{(\Delta M \neq 0)} \ge a$. It holds that

$$E \exp(\frac{1}{2} \langle M^c \rangle_{\infty} + \alpha(a) \langle M^d \rangle_{\infty}) < \infty \Rightarrow E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$$
$$E \exp(\frac{1}{2} [M^c]_{\infty} + \beta(a) [M^d]_{\infty}) < \infty \Rightarrow E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$$

where the former requires local square-integrability to make sense. All constants are optimal. Note that $\beta(-1) = \infty$, so no sufficient criterion exists in the case a = -1 for this case.

Graph of the function α :



Graph of the function β :



Outline of proof. Sufficiency follows immediately from the results of Lepingle & Mémin once we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a) &= \inf\{c \ge 0 \mid (1+x) \log(1+x) - x \le cx^2 \text{ for } x \ge a\} \\ \beta(a) &= \inf\{c \ge 0 \mid \log(1+x) - x/(1+x) \le cx^2 \text{ for } x \ge a\} \end{aligned}$$

Optimality is more involved. The proof considers a > 0, a = 0, -1 < a < 0 and a = -1 separately. We outline the strategy for optimality of $\alpha(a)$ in the case a > 0.

Let a > 0 and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that $E \exp((1 - \varepsilon)\alpha(a)\langle M \rangle_{\infty}) < \infty$ is insufficient to yield $E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$.

Let N be a standard Poisson process and let b > 0. Define

$$T_b = \inf\{t \ge 0 \mid N_t - (1+b)t = -1\}$$
$$M_t = a(N_t^{T_b} - t \land T_b)$$

It holds that $N_{T_b} = (1 + b)T_b - 1$. By elementary calculations,

$$\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1+a} \exp(T_b((1+b)\log(1+a) - a))$$
$$\exp((\alpha(a) - \varepsilon) \langle M \rangle_{\infty}) = \exp(T_b a^2(1-\varepsilon)\alpha(a))$$

By optional stopping arguments, we obtain the desired counterexample by choosing $b \in (0, a)$ such that

$$egin{aligned} (1+b)\log(1+a)-a &\leq 1-(1+a)rac{b}{a}+(1+b)\log(1+a)+(1+b)\lograc{b}{a}\ a^2(1-arepsilon)lpha(a) &\leq (1+b)\log(1+b)-b, \end{aligned}$$

and by elementary analysis, such a choice can be made.

Remaining cases:

- Optimality of α(a) for −1 < a < 0: more involved.
- Optimality of $\alpha(a)$ for a = -1 and a = 0: not difficult.
- Optimality of $\beta(a)$: Similar to optimality of $\alpha(a)$.

Corollary 2. Let *M* be a local martingale with $\Delta M \ge 0$.

- If $\exp(\frac{1}{2}[M]_{\infty})$ is integrable, it holds that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.
- 2 If *M* is locally square integrable and $\exp(\frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle_{\infty})$ is integrable, it holds that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

Both the constants and the requirement on the jumps are optimal.

Questions:

- Can Corollary 2 be proved using elementary methods?
- Can Corollary 2 be extended?

Current results. Similarly to (Krylov, 2009):

Theorem 3. Assume $\Delta M \ge 0$. It holds that $E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$ if only

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \log E \exp((1-\varepsilon) \frac{1}{2} [M]_{\infty}) < \infty$$

Theorem 4. Assume $\Delta M \ge 0$ and let M be quasi-left-continuous. It holds that $E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$ if only

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \log E \exp((1-\varepsilon) \frac{1}{2} (\alpha[M]_{\infty} + (1-\alpha) \langle M \rangle_{\infty})) < \infty$$

Open problem. Extension of Theorem 4 to the non-QLC case.

Outline of proof of Theorem 3. Note that for $x \ge 0$, we have

$$0 \le \log \frac{1+\lambda x}{(1+x)^{\lambda}} \le \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{2} x^2 \text{ when } 0 \le \lambda \le 1$$
$$0 \le \log \frac{(1+x)^a}{1+ax} \le \frac{a(a-1)}{2} x^2 \text{ when } a \ge 1$$

Let a, r > 1 and let s be the dual exponent to r. Using Hölder's inequality and the optional stopping theorem with $\mathcal{E}(arM)$, we find that for any stopping time T,

$$E\mathcal{E}(M)_T^a \leq \left(E\exp\left(\frac{ar(ar-1)}{2(r-1)}[M]_\infty\right)\right)^{1/s}$$

•

As
$$\inf_{a,r>1} \frac{ar(ar-1)}{2(r-1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$
, we conclude

 $E \exp((1+\varepsilon)\frac{1}{2}[M]_{\infty}) < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$.

Next, note that by our assumptions, $E \exp((1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{2}[M]_{\infty})$ is finite for $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, for $0 < \lambda < 1$, $E \exp((1+\varepsilon_{\lambda})\frac{1}{2}[\lambda M]_{\infty})$ is finite for some suitable $\varepsilon_{\lambda} > 0$, so $E\mathcal{E}(\lambda M)_{\infty} = 1$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$1 \leq (E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty})^{\lambda} e^{\gamma \lambda (1-\lambda)/2} + (E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{F_{\gamma}})^{\lambda} \left(E \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} [M]_{\infty}\right) \right)^{1-\lambda},$$

where $F_{\gamma} = ([M]_{\infty} > \gamma)$. Taking the limes inferior as λ tends to one and letting γ tend to infinity, we obtain $E\mathcal{E}(M)_{\infty} = 1$.

Outline of proof of Theorem 4. As for Theorem 2, except that we need

$$0 \leq \log \frac{1 + \lambda x + (1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}x)^{\lambda} - (1 + \lambda\sqrt{1 - \alpha}x)}{(1 + x)^{\lambda}} \leq \alpha \frac{\lambda(1 - \lambda)}{2}x^2,$$

and we use Hölder's inequality for triples with the processes

arM and
$$arM + W^{ar} - \Pi_p^* W^{ar}$$
 instead of arM ,
where $W^{\beta} = \sum_{0 < s \le t} (1 + \Delta M_s)^{\beta} - (1 + \beta \Delta M_s)$, and secondly use
 $\lambda M + W^{\lambda}(\alpha) - \Pi_p^* W^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ instead of λM ,

where $W^{\lambda}(\alpha) = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} (1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \Delta M_s)^{\lambda} - (1 + \lambda \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \Delta M_s).$

Definition. We say that a *d*-dimensional nonexplosive point process *N* has intensity λ if $N_t^i - \int_0^t \lambda_s^i \, ds$ is a local martingale, $i \leq d$.

A statistical model for a counting process with intensity consists of:

- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$.
- A nonexplosive point process N on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$.
- A parametrized family $(\mu_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$ of intensities.
- A corresponding family of probability measures P_{θ} such that under P_{θ} , N is a nonexplosive counting process with intensity μ_{θ} .

Problem. Given a family $(\mu_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$, does there exist a statistical model corresponding to this family of candidate intensities? This is not a vacuous question, as many candidate intensities yield explosion.

Solution approach on canonical spaces. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, P)$ be the space of nonexplosive point process trajectories endowed with the canonical σ -algebra and filtration, let $N : \Omega \to \Omega$ be the identity and let P be such that N is a homogeneous Poisson process.

(Jacobsen, 2005) gives sufficient criteria on μ_{θ} to ensure that there exists a probability measure P_{θ} equivalent to P such that under P_{θ} , N has intensity μ_{θ} .

This yields the existence of nonexplosive point processes with intensity μ_{θ} and yields the existence of the statistical model.

Benefits of the canonical setting:

- Precise expressions for the likelihood in terms of the waiting time distributions of the point process with intensity μ_θ.
- Coupling arguments may be used to analyze non-explosion.

Drawbacks of the canonical setting:

- Only intensities depending on *N* are covered.
- Arguments are often based on very technical manipulations of the canonical space and various conditional distributions, instead of for example modern martingale theory.

Alternative approach. Consider a general filtered space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ and formulate all issues in terms of martingales.

A general problem statement. Assume given:

- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$.
- A positive, predictable and locally bounded intensity process λ .
- A point process N with intensity λ .
- A parametrized family $(\mu_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$ of intensities.

We seek: Sufficient criteria on μ_{θ} to ensure the existence of a probability measure P_{θ} equivalent to P such that under P_{θ} , N has intensity μ_{θ} .

As corollaries, we obtain: Explicit expressions for the likelihood, criteria for existence of point processes with various intensities (corresponding to criteria for nonexplosion).

From now on, assume given:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$ satisfying the usual conditions.
- Positive, predictable and locally bounded *d*-dimensional λ , μ .
- A *d*-dimensional point process N with intensity λ .

We define:

- $M_t^i = N_t^i \int_0^t \lambda_s^i \mathrm{d}s.$ • $\gamma_t^i = \mu_t^i (\lambda^i)_t^{-1}.$ • $H_t^i = \gamma_t^i - 1.$
- $H \cdot M = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} H_{s}^{i} \mathrm{d}M_{s}^{i}$.

Lemma 5. Assume that $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale. Let $t \ge 0$. With Q_t being the measure with Radon-Nikodym derivative $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)_t$ with respect to P, N is a counting process under Q_t with intensity $1_{[0,t]}\mu + 1_{(t,\infty)}\lambda$.

Conclusion. In order to obtain the existence of the desired equivalent probability measures, we need criteria for the martingale property of $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$.

Theorem 6. Assume that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $0 \le u \le t$ with $|t - u| \le \varepsilon$, one of the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{split} &E \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{u}^{t} (\gamma_{s}^{i} \log \gamma_{s}^{i} - (\gamma_{s}^{i} - 1))\lambda_{s}^{i} \,\mathrm{d}s\right) < \infty \quad \text{or} \\ &E \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{u}^{t} \lambda_{s}^{i} \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{u}^{t} \log_{+} \gamma_{s}^{i} \,\mathrm{d}N_{s}^{i}\right) < \infty, \end{split}$$

where $\log_{+} x = \max\{\log x, 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Corollary 7. Let $\lambda = 1$. Assume that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $0 \le u \le t$ with $|t - u| \le \varepsilon$, one of the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{split} & E \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{u}^{t} \mu_{s}^{i} \log_{+} \mu_{s}^{i} \, \mathrm{d}s\right) < \infty \quad \text{ or } \\ & E \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{u}^{t} \log_{+} \mu_{s}^{i} \, \mathrm{d}N_{s}^{i}\right) < \infty, \end{split}$$

where $\log_{+} x = \max\{\log x, 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

Outline of proof of Theorem 6:

- Argue that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}((H \cdot M)^t (H \cdot M)^u)$ is a martingale for $|t u| \leq \varepsilon$.
- Decompose µ into large and small parts and show a related decomposition for exponential martingales.
- Apply two theorems of (Lépingle & Mémin, 1978) to obtain the result.

Example. Let $\mu_t^i \leq \alpha + \beta \sum_{j=1}^d N_{t-}^j$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

The Example shows that we may recover the classical affine criteria for non-explosion from the canonical case in the case of a general filtered space. This also extends the criterion from (Gjessing et al. ,2010) from an " \mathcal{L}^{p} "-criterion, p > 1, to an " \mathcal{L}^{p} "-criterion, $p \ge 1$.

Outline of proof. To use the first moment condition, use that $E \exp(\varepsilon X \log X)$ is finite when X is Poisson distributed and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $4\beta\varepsilon d < 1$. To use the second moment condition, use a Markov argument and that Poisson distributions have moments of all orders, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\beta\varepsilon d < 1$.

Example. Consider $A : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $B : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \to \mathbb{M}(d, d)$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \to \mathbb{M}(d, d)$. Assume that $A(\eta, \cdot)$, $B(\eta, \cdot)$ and $\sigma(\eta, \cdot)$ are continuous and bounded for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. Assume that σ is positive definite. Assume that for $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, there is $\delta, c > 0$ such that

$$egin{aligned} &\sup_{t\geq 0} \|\mathcal{A}(\eta,t)\|_2 \leq c \|\eta\|_1^{1-\delta} \ &\sup_{t\geq 0} \|\sigma(\eta,t)\|_2 \leq c \|\eta\|_1^{(1-\delta)/2} \ &\sup_{t\geq 0} \|B(\eta,t)\|_2 \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Example, contined. Let X be a solution to

 $\mathrm{d}X_t = (A(N_t, Z_t) + B(N_t, Z_t)X_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(N_t, Z_t)\,\mathrm{d}W_t,$

where W is a *d*-dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) Brownian motion and $Z_t^i = t - T_{N_t^i}^i$, where T_n^i is the *n*'th event time of N^i . Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d_+$ be Lipschitz and put $\mu_t = \phi(X_t)$. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

The example shows that we can use our results to construct counting processes where the intensity is driven by a SDE whose coefficients vary according to the history of the counting process.

Outline of proof. Note that conditionally on N, the intensity has the distribution of a Gaussian process. Apply bounds for $E \exp(c ||Z||_2^{1+\varepsilon})$, with Z d-dimensionally Gaussian and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, to obtain a bound for the conditional expectation

$$E\left(\left.\exp\left(t\sum_{i=1}^{d}\mu_{s}^{i}\log_{+}\mu_{s}^{i}\right)\right|N\right)$$

Use this to obtain a bound of the unconditional expectation varying continuously in s, $0 \le s \le t$. Apply Jensen's inequality and further estimates to obtain the result.

Example. Let $\phi_i : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ for $i \leq d$ and $h_{ij} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i, j \leq d$. Define

$$\mu_t^i = \phi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^{t-} h_{ij}(t-s) \,\mathrm{d}N_s^j \right)$$

Assume that ϕ^i is Borel measurable, that $\phi_i(x) \le |x|$ and that h_{ij} is bounded. Then $\mathcal{E}(H \cdot M)$ is a martingale.

This is an example of a sufficient criterion for non-explosion for multidimensional Hawkes processes.

References

Håkon K. Gjessing, Kjetil Røysland, Edsel A. Pena, and Odd O. Aalen. Recurrent events and the exploding Cox model. *Lifetime Data Anal.*, **16**(4) (2010) 525–546.

Jacobsen, M.: Point Process Theory and Applications: Marked Point and Piecewise Deterministic Processes. Birkhäuser, 2005.

Krylov, N.: A simple proof of a result of A. Novikov. Preprint (2009).

Lépingle, D. and Mémin, J.: Sur l'intégrabilité uniforme des martingales exponentielles. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **42**(3) (1978) 175–203.

References

Novikov, A. A.: On an identity for stochastic integrals, *Theor. Probability Appl.* **17** (1972) 717–720.

Protter, P. E. and Shimbo, K.: No Arbitrage and General Semimartingales. In "A festschrift for Thomas G. Kurtz" (2008).

Alexander Sokol & Niels Richard Hansen: Exponential martingales and changes of measure for counting processes. Preprint (2012).

Alexander Sokol: Novikov-type criteria for local martingales with jumps: Optimality and elementary proofs. Preprint (2012).



Thank you!